

February 17, 1978

LB 21, 244

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Is it in order to move that the amendment be adopted?

PRESIDENT: All right. Any discussion? Record your vote. If you would vote, Senator Hasebroock, we would pass it. Are you going to vote, Senator Hasebroock? There we go. All right, the amendment is adopted and you move to advance the bill?

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: I move that the bill be advanced.

PRESIDENT: All in favor say aye. Contrary say nay. The bill is advanced. Mr. Speaker, is it your order that we go to Final Reading.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: We also ought to take care of Senator Savage's 21 if we can.

PRESIDENT: All right.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Is there a motion, Mr. Clerk?

PRESIDENT: By Speaker's order rather than your agenda we are on Final Reading.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Yes, we will take up LB 21 then.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Savage.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Mr. President, I would like to make a motion to return LB 21 from Final Reading to E & R for a specific amendment which is found on page 406 of the Journal which was omitted for some reason or another when they drafted the bill, Senator Stoney's amendment and it was omitted from the bill which made it out of compliance with my wishes.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion on the motion? Your motion is to return the bill, Senator.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Right.

PRESIDENT: Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays to return the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Who moves the adoption of the motion or the amendment?

CLERK: Senator Stoney. It is his amendment.

PRESIDENT: All right.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is an amendment that I had offered, had printed in the Journal and due to some confusion we thought that this amendment was included in a comprehensive amendment that had been offered by Senator Savage. Today when going over the bill on Final Reading I saw that it had been excluded and for that reason Senator Savage was kind enough to ask that we not take action on the bill, hold it, and add this amendment. We had a great deal of debate about this issue. The reason for the amendment is that many people that own canoes, rafts, johnboats that live on private property, we do not feel that they should be included in that those crafts would not be operated on state